



2009 MLB Regular Season Attendance Details

Contact: Maury Brown – maury@businessofsportsnetwork.com

Table of Contents

METHODOLOGY	1
Major League Baseball – Totals (2001 – 2009)	2
Atlanta Braves	3
Arizona Diamondbacks	3
Baltimore Orioles	4
Boston Red Sox	4
Chicago Cubs	5
Chicago White Sox	5
Cincinnati Reds	6
Cleveland Indians	6
Colorado Rockies	7
Detroit Tigers	7
Florida Marlins	8
Houston Astros	8
Kansas City Royals	9
Los Angeles Angels	9
Los Angeles Dodgers	10
Milwaukee Brewers	10
Minnesota Twins	11
New York Mets	11
New York Yankees	12
Oakland Athletics	12
Philadelphia Phillies	13
Pittsburgh Pirates	13
San Diego Padres	14
Seattle Mariners	14
San Francisco Giants	15
St. Louis Cardinals	15
Tampa Bay Rays	16
Texas Rangers	16
Toronto Blue Jays	17
Washington Nationals	17
Source	17


METHODOLOGY

- Attendance figures for MLB using figures provided by ESPN from 2001-2009 for regular season, only.
- Rank based upon Total Attendance
- Average attendance takes total attendance and divides by total games for each individual team (fluctuates based upon rainouts)
- % (+/-) denotes increase or decrease from the year prior for three measures (Total Attendance, Average Attendance, Pct. of Capacity)
- Line Graph shows total attendance from 2001 to 2009 for each team.




Major League Baseball – Totals (2001 – 2009)

Total Attendance 2001-09			
YEAR	GAMES	TOTAL	% (+/-)
2001	2413	72,530,213	
2002	2412	67,858,176	6.44%
2003	2413	67,688,994	0.25%
2004	2402	73,022,969	7.88%
2005	2419	74,925,821	2.61%
2006	2421	76,078,766	1.54%
2007	2425	79,503,175	4.50%
2008	2415	78,591,116	1.15%
2009	2420	73,418,529	6.58%




Average Attendance 2001-09			
YEAR	GAMES	TOTAL	% (+/-)
2001	2413	30,058	
2002	2412	28,134	-6.40%
2003	2413	28,052	-0.29%
2004	2402	30,401	8.37%
2005	2419	30,974	1.88%
2006	2421	31,425	1.45%
2007	2425	32,785	4.33%
2008	2415	32,543	-0.74%
2009	2420	30,338	-6.77%






Atlanta Braves

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	11	81	2,823,494		34,857		69.6	
2002	13	81	2,603,482	-7.79%	32,141	-7.79%	64.2	-7.76%
2003	10	79	2,401,084	-7.77%	30,393	-5.44%	60.7	-5.45%
2004	16	79	2,322,565	-3.27%	29,399	-3.27%	58.7	-3.29%
2005	16	80	2,521,167	8.55%	31,514	7.19%	62.9	7.16%
2006	14	80	2,550,524	1.16%	31,881	1.16%	63.6	1.11%
2007	14	81	2,745,210	7.63%	33,891	6.30%	67.7	6.45%
2008	14	81	2,532,834	-7.74%	31,269	-7.74%	62.4	-7.83%
2009	15	81	2,373,631	-6.29%	29,304	-6.28%	58.5	-6.25%



Arizona Diamondbacks


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	14	81	2,744,433		33,881		75.2	
2002	4	81	3,200,725	16.63%	39,515	16.63%	87.7	16.62%
2003	8	81	2,805,542	12.35%	34,636	12.35%	70.6	19.50%
2004	13	81	2,519,560	10.19%	31,105	10.19%	63.4	10.20%
2005	20	81	2,058,718	18.29%	25,416	18.29%	51.8	18.30%
2006	24	81	2,092,189	1.63%	25,829	1.62%	52.7	1.74%
2007	20	81	2,325,413	11.15%	28,708	11.15%	58.5	11.01%
2008	15	81	2,509,924	7.93%	30,986	7.94%	63.2	8.03%
2009	19	81	2,129,183	15.17%	26,286	15.17%	53.6	15.19%






Baltimore Orioles

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	7	80	3,094,841		38,685		79.2	
2002	10	81	2,682,917	13.31%	33,122	14.38%	67.8	14.39%
2003	12	81	2,454,118	-8.53%	30,297	-8.53%	62.9	-7.23%
2004	12	80	2,747,573	11.96%	34,344	13.36%	70.4	11.92%
2005	14	81	2,624,740	-4.47%	32,404	-5.65%	67.2	-4.55%
2006	20	81	2,153,139	17.97%	26,581	17.97%	55.2	17.86%
2007	23	80	2,164,822	0.54%	27,060	1.80%	56.2	1.81%
2008	24	78	1,950,075	-9.92%	25,000	-7.61%	51.9	-7.65%
2009	21	81	1,907,163	-2.20%	23,545	-5.82%	48.9	-5.78%



Boston Red Sox


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	16	81	2,625,333		32,411		96.6	
2002	11	81	2,650,063	0.94%	32,716	0.94%	97.4	0.83%
2003	9	81	2,724,165	2.80%	33,631	2.80%	100.2	2.87%
2004	11	81	2,837,304	4.15%	35,028	4.15%	96.8	-3.39%
2005	8	81	2,847,888	0.37%	35,159	0.37%	97.2	0.41%
2006	9	82	2,967,508	4.20%	36,189	2.93%	100	2.88%
2007	11	81	2,971,025	0.12%	36,679	1.35%	101.4	1.40%
2008	10	81	3,048,250	2.60%	37,632	2.60%	104	2.56%
2009	8	81	3,062,699	0.47%	37,811	0.48%	101.5	-2.40%






Chicago Cubs

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	13	79	2,779,454		35,182		88.9	
2002	8	78	2,692,071	-3.14%	34,513	-1.90%	87.2	-1.91%
2003	6	80	2,962,630	10.05%	37,032	7.30%	93.6	7.34%
2004	6	81	3,170,184	7.01%	39,138	5.69%	98.9	5.66%
2005	6	80	3,099,992	-2.21%	38,749	-0.99%	98	-0.91%
2006	7	80	3,123,215	0.75%	39,040	0.75%	94.9	-3.16%
2007	6	81	3,252,462	4.14%	40,153	2.85%	97.7	2.95%
2008	7	81	3,300,200	1.47%	40,743	1.47%	99.1	1.43%
2009	6	80	3,168,859	-3.98%	39,610	-2.78%	96.3	-2.83%



Chicago White Sox


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	26	80	1,766,142		22,076		49.8	
2002	23	81	1,676,804	-5.06%	20,701	-6.23%	46.7	-6.22%
2003	21	81	1,939,594	15.67%	23,945	15.67%	54	15.63%
2004	21	79	1,930,537	-0.47%	24,437	2.05%	61.1	13.15%
2005	17	81	2,342,833	21.36%	28,923	18.36%	71.2	16.53%
2006	10	81	2,957,411	26.23%	36,511	26.24%	89.9	26.26%
2007	15	81	2,684,395	-9.23%	33,140	-9.23%	81.6	-9.23%
2008	16	81	2,501,103	-6.83%	30,877	-6.83%	76	-6.86%
2009	16	81	2,284,164	-8.67%	28,199	-8.67%	69.4	-8.68%






Cincinnati Reds

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	23	79	1,879,872		23,795		59.5	
2002	21	80	1,855,973	-1.27%	23,199	-2.50%	58	-2.52%
2003	13	81	2,355,259	26.90%	29,077	25.34%	69.1	19.14%
2004	18	81	2,287,250	-2.89%	28,237	-2.89%	67.1	-2.89%
2005	25	81	1,943,068	15.05%	23,988	15.05%	57	15.05%
2006	22	81	2,134,633	9.86%	26,353	9.86%	62.7	10.00%
2007	24	81	2,058,593	-3.56%	25,414	-3.56%	60.4	-3.67%
2008	23	81	2,058,632	0.00%	25,415	0.00%	60.4	0.00%
2009	27	81	1,747,920	15.09%	21,579	15.09%	51.3	15.07%



Cleveland Indians


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	4	80	3,175,523		39,694		92.6	
2002	12	81	2,616,940	17.59%	32,307	18.61%	75.4	18.57%
2003	24	81	1,730,001	33.89%	21,358	33.89%	49.2	34.75%
2004	25	81	1,814,401	4.88%	22,400	4.88%	51.6	4.88%
2005	24	81	2,013,763	10.99%	24,861	10.99%	57.3	11.05%
2006	25	81	1,997,995	-0.78%	24,666	-0.78%	56.8	-0.87%
2007	22	80	2,275,916	13.91%	28,448	15.33%	65.6	15.49%
2008	22	80	2,169,760	-4.66%	27,122	-4.66%	62.5	-4.73%
2009	26	79	1,766,242	18.60%	22,357	17.57%	51.5	17.60%






Colorado Rockies

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	5	81	3,160,115		39,013		77.7	
2002	9	81	2,737,918	13.36%	33,801	13.36%	67.3	13.38%
2003	15	81	2,334,085	14.75%	28,815	14.75%	57.1	15.16%
2004	15	79	2,338,069	0.17%	29,595	2.71%	58.7	2.80%
2005	26	80	1,914,389	18.12%	23,929	19.15%	47.4	19.25%
2006	23	81	2,104,362	9.92%	25,979	8.57%	51.5	8.65%
2007	17	82	2,376,250	12.92%	28,978	11.54%	57.4	11.46%
2008	13	80	2,650,218	11.53%	33,127	14.32%	65.7	14.46%
2009	11	81	2,665,080	0.56%	32,902	-0.68%	65.2	-0.76%



Detroit Tigers


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	21	80	1,921,305		24,016		60	
2002	26	80	1,503,623	21.74%	18,795	21.74%	47	21.67%
2003	27	80	1,368,245	-9.00%	17,103	-9.00%	42.6	-9.36%
2004	22	80	1,917,004	40.11%	23,962	40.10%	59.7	40.14%
2005	22	80	2,024,485	5.61%	25,306	5.61%	63.1	5.70%
2006	13	81	2,595,937	28.23%	32,048	26.64%	79.9	26.62%
2007	9	81	3,047,139	17.38%	37,619	17.38%	93.8	17.40%
2008	8	81	3,202,645	5.10%	39,538	5.10%	98.6	5.12%
2009	12	81	2,567,185	19.84%	31,693	19.84%	79	19.88%






Florida Marlins

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	29	80	1,261,220		15,765		37.1	
2002	29	81	813,111	35.53%	10,038	36.33%	23.6	36.39%
2003	28	80	1,303,214	60.28%	16,290	62.28%	44.8	89.83%
2004	26	78	1,723,105	32.22%	22,091	35.61%	60.6	35.27%
2005	27	81	1,852,608	7.52%	22,871	3.53%	63	3.96%
2006	30	81	1,164,134	37.16%	14,372	37.16%	39.6	37.14%
2007	30	81	1,370,511	17.73%	16,919	17.72%	46.6	17.68%
2008	30	80	1,335,075	-2.59%	16,688	-1.37%	45.9	-1.50%
2009	29	78	1,464,109	9.66%	18,770	12.48%	51.7	12.64%



Houston Astros


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	9	81	2,904,280		35,855		85	
2002	14	81	2,517,407	13.32%	31,079	13.32%	73.7	13.29%
2003	11	81	2,454,241	-2.51%	30,299	-2.51%	74	0.41%
2004	7	81	3,087,872	25.82%	38,121	25.82%	93.1	25.81%
2005	10	81	2,804,760	-9.17%	34,626	-9.17%	84.6	-9.13%
2006	8	81	3,022,763	7.77%	37,318	7.77%	91.1	7.68%
2007	10	81	3,020,405	-0.08%	37,288	-0.08%	91.1	0.00%
2008	12	80	2,779,287	-7.98%	34,741	-6.83%	84.8	-6.92%
2009	13	81	2,521,076	-9.29%	31,124	10.41%	76	10.38%






Kansas City Royals

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	27	81	1,536,371		18,967		46.7	
2002	27	77	1,323,034	13.89%	17,182	-9.41%	42.3	-9.42%
2003	22	79	1,795,859	35.74%	22,732	32.30%	56	32.39%
2004	27	79	1,661,478	-7.48%	21,031	-7.48%	51.6	-7.86%
2005	29	79	1,371,181	-	17,356	-	42.5	-
2006	28	80	1,372,638	0.11%	17,157	-1.15%	42.1	-0.94%
2007	28	81	1,616,867	17.79%	19,961	16.34%	48.9	16.15%
2008	29	79	1,578,922	-2.35%	19,986	0.13%	49	0.20%
2009	25	80	1,797,887	13.87%	22,473	12.44%	58.9	20.20%



Los Angeles Angels


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	20	81	2,000,919		24,702		54.8	
2002	16	81	2,305,565	15.23%	28,463	15.23%	63.2	15.33%
2003	5	81	3,061,094	32.77%	37,791	32.77%	83.9	32.75%
2004	3	81	3,375,677	10.28%	41,675	10.28%	92.5	10.25%
2005	4	81	3,404,686	0.86%	42,033	0.86%	93.3	0.86%
2006	4	81	3,406,790	0.06%	42,059	0.06%	93.4	0.11%
2007	5	81	3,365,632	-1.21%	41,551	-1.21%	92.2	-1.28%
2008	6	81	3,336,744	-0.86%	41,194	-0.86%	91.4	-0.87%
2009	5	81	3,240,386	-2.89%	40,004	-2.89%	88.8	-2.84%






Los Angeles Dodgers

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	8	81	3,017,502		37,253		66.5	
2002	5	81	3,131,077	3.76%	38,655	3.76%	69	3.76%
2003	4	81	3,138,626	0.24%	38,748	0.24%	69.2	0.29%
2004	2	81	3,488,283	11.14%	43,065	11.14%	76.9	11.13%
2005	2	81	3,603,646	3.31%	44,489	3.31%	79.4	3.25%
2006	2	81	3,758,545	4.30%	46,401	4.30%	82.9	4.41%
2007	2	81	3,857,036	2.62%	47,617	2.62%	85	2.53%
2008	3	81	3,730,553	-3.28%	46,056	-3.28%	82.2	-3.29%
2009	1	81	3,761,669	0.83%	46,440	0.83%	82.9	0.85%



Milwaukee Brewers


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	12	81	2,811,041		34,704		81.7	
2002	19	81	1,969,693	29.93%	24,317	29.93%	57.2	29.99%
2003	25	81	1,700,354	13.67%	20,992	13.67%	50.1	12.41%
2004	20	81	2,062,382	21.29%	25,461	21.29%	60.1	19.96%
2005	18	81	2,211,023	7.21%	27,296	7.21%	64.4	7.15%
2006	17	81	2,335,643	5.64%	28,835	5.64%	68	5.59%
2007	12	81	2,869,144	22.84%	35,421	22.84%	83.5	22.79%
2008	9	81	3,068,458	6.95%	37,882	6.95%	89.3	6.95%
2009	9	81	3,037,451	-1.01%	37,499	-1.01%	88.4	-1.01%






Minnesota Twins

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	24	80	1,782,926		22,286		45.8	
2002	20	81	1,924,473	7.94%	23,758	6.61%	48.8	6.55%
2003	20	81	1,946,011	1.12%	24,024	1.12%	49.4	1.23%
2004	23	81	1,911,418	-1.78%	23,597	-1.78%	48.5	-1.82%
2005	21	81	2,034,243	6.43%	25,114	6.43%	51.6	6.39%
2006	19	81	2,285,018	12.33%	28,210	12.33%	58	12.40%
2007	21	81	2,296,347	0.50%	28,349	0.49%	58.2	0.34%
2008	21	81	2,302,431	0.26%	28,425	0.27%	58.4	0.34%
2009	14	82	2,416,237	4.94%	29,466	3.66%	60.5	3.60%



New York Mets


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	15	81	2,658,279		32,818		58.8	
2002	7	78	2,804,838	5.51%	35,959	9.57%	64.5	9.69%
2003	16	77	2,187,291	22.02%	28,406	21.00%	49.5	23.26%
2004	17	80	2,318,321	5.99%	28,979	2.02%	50.5	2.02%
2005	9	80	2,829,931	22.07%	35,374	22.07%	61.6	21.98%
2006	5	78	3,379,535	19.42%	43,327	22.48%	75.5	22.56%
2007	3	81	3,853,949	14.04%	47,579	9.81%	82.9	9.80%
2008	2	79	4,042,047	4.88%	51,165	7.54%	89.1	7.48%
2009	7	81	3,154,262	21.96%	38,941	23.89%	92.7	4.04%






New York Yankees

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	3	80	3,264,777		40,809		74.1	
2002	2	80	3,461,644	6.03%	43,270	6.03%	78.6	6.07%
2003	1	81	3,465,640	0.12%	42,785	-1.12%	77.7	-1.15%
2004	1	79	3,775,292	8.93%	47,788	11.69%	83.1	6.95%
2005	1	81	4,090,692	8.35%	50,502	5.68%	87.9	5.78%
2006	1	81	4,243,780	3.74%	52,392	3.74%	91.2	3.75%
2007	1	81	4,271,083	0.64%	52,729	0.64%	91.7	0.55%
2008	1	81	4,298,655	0.65%	53,069	0.64%	92.3	0.65%
2009	2	81	3,719,358	13.48%	45,918	13.47%	87.8	-4.88%



Oakland Athletics


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	19	81	2,133,277		26,336		60.3	
2002	18	81	2,169,811	1.71%	26,787	1.71%	61.4	1.82%
2003	17	81	2,216,596	2.16%	27,365	2.16%	62.7	2.12%
2004	19	81	2,201,516	-0.68%	27,179	-0.68%	62.2	-0.80%
2005	19	81	2,109,118	-4.20%	26,038	-4.20%	59.6	-4.18%
2006	26	81	1,976,625	-6.28%	24,402	-6.28%	55.9	-6.21%
2007	26	81	1,921,834	-2.77%	23,726	-2.77%	54.3	-2.86%
2008	27	81	1,665,256	13.35%	20,558	13.35%	48.3	11.05%
2009	30	80	1,392,192	16.40%	17,402	15.35%	39.9	17.39%






Philadelphia Phillies

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	25	78	1,782,054		22,846		36.6	
2002	24	79	1,618,141	-9.20%	20,482	10.35%	32.8	10.38%
2003	14	78	2,259,903	39.66%	28,973	41.46%	46.9	42.99%
2004	5	80	3,250,092	43.82%	40,626	40.22%	93.4	99.15%
2005	13	80	2,665,304	17.99%	33,316	17.99%	76.6	17.99%
2006	11	79	2,701,815	1.37%	34,200	2.65%	78.6	2.61%
2007	8	81	3,108,325	15.05%	38,374	12.20%	88.2	12.21%
2008	5	81	3,422,583	10.11%	42,254	10.11%	97.1	10.09%
2009	3	81	3,600,693	5.20%	44,453	5.20%	102.2	5.25%



Pittsburgh Pirates


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	17	79	2,436,290		30,839		80.4	
2002	22	79	1,784,993	26.73%	22,594	26.74%	58.9	26.74%
2003	26	78	1,636,751	-8.30%	20,983	-7.13%	55.4	-5.94%
2004	28	75	1,583,031	-3.28%	21,107	0.59%	55	-0.72%
2005	28	79	1,817,245	14.80%	23,003	8.98%	60	9.09%
2006	27	80	1,861,549	2.44%	23,269	1.16%	60.7	1.17%
2007	27	79	1,749,142	-6.04%	22,141	-4.85%	57.7	-4.94%
2008	28	80	1,609,076	-8.01%	20,113	-9.16%	52.4	-9.19%
2009	28	81	1,577,853	-1.94%	19,479	-3.15%	50.8	-3.05%






San Diego Padres

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	18	80	2,378,116		29,726		44.8	
2002	17	81	2,220,416	-6.63%	27,412	-7.78%	41.3	-7.81%
2003	19	81	2,027,020	-8.71%	25,024	-8.71%	39.2	-5.08%
2004	9	81	3,016,752	48.83%	37,243	48.83%	88.7	126.28%
2005	7	81	2,869,787	-4.87%	35,429	-4.87%	83.4	-5.98%
2006	12	81	2,659,754	-7.32%	32,836	-7.32%	77.3	-7.31%
2007	13	81	2,790,074	4.90%	34,445	4.90%	81	4.79%
2008	17	81	2,427,535	12.99%	29,969	12.99%	70.5	-12.96%
2009	20	81	1,922,603	20.80%	23,735	20.80%	55.8	-20.85%



Seattle Mariners


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	1	81	3,507,507		43,302		91.9	
2002	1	81	3,540,482	0.94%	43,709	0.94%	92.8	0.98%
2003	2	81	3,268,509	-7.68%	40,351	-7.68%	84.5	-8.94%
2004	10	81	2,940,731	10.03%	36,305	10.03%	76	10.06%
2005	12	81	2,725,549	-7.32%	33,648	-7.32%	70.4	-7.37%
2006	15	81	2,481,375	-8.96%	30,634	-8.96%	64.1	-8.95%
2007	16	81	2,672,485	7.70%	32,993	7.70%	69.1	7.80%
2008	19	81	2,329,702	12.83%	28,761	12.83%	60.2	12.88%
2009	18	81	2,195,284	-5.77%	27,102	-5.77%	56.7	-5.81%





San Francisco Giants

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	2	81	3,307,686		40,835		100.1	
2002	3	81	3,253,205	-1.65%	40,163	-1.65%	98.4	-1.70%
2003	3	81	3,264,628	0.35%	40,304	0.35%	97.1	-1.32%
2004	4	81	3,256,858	-0.24%	40,208	-0.24%	96.8	-0.31%
2005	5	81	3,181,023	-2.33%	39,271	-2.33%	94.6	-2.27%
2006	6	81	3,129,785	-1.61%	38,639	-1.61%	93	-1.69%
2007	7	81	3,223,217	2.99%	39,792	2.98%	95.8	3.01%
2008	11	81	2,863,837	11.15%	35,356	11.15%	85.1	11.17%
2009	10	81	2,861,113	-0.10%	35,322	-0.10%	85	-0.12%




x



St. Louis Cardinals


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	6	81	3,113,091		38,433		77.3	
2002	6	81	3,011,756	-3.26%	37,182	-3.26%	74.8	-3.23%
2003	7	81	2,910,366	-3.37%	35,930	-3.37%	71.4	-4.55%
2004	8	81	3,048,427	4.74%	37,634	4.74%	74.8	4.76%
2005	3	81	3,538,988	16.09%	43,691	16.09%	86.8	16.04%
2006	3	80	3,407,114	-3.73%	42,588	-2.52%	90.9	4.72%
2007	4	81	3,552,180	4.26%	43,854	2.97%	93.6	2.97%
2008	4	81	3,430,660	-3.42%	42,353	-3.42%	90.4	-3.42%
2009	4	81	3,343,252	-2.55%	41,274	-2.55%	88.1	-2.54%






Tampa Bay Rays

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	28	81	1,298,365		16,029		35.5	
2002	28	81	1,065,762	17.92%	13,157	17.92%	29.1	18.03%
2003	29	81	1,058,677	-0.66%	13,070	-0.66%	28.9	-0.69%
2004	29	79	1,275,011	20.43%	16,139	23.48%	36.6	26.64%
2005	30	81	1,152,793	-9.59%	14,232	11.82%	32.5	11.20%
2006	29	81	1,370,963	18.93%	16,925	18.92%	38.7	19.08%
2007	29	81	1,387,603	1.21%	17,130	1.21%	40.6	4.91%
2008	26	80	1,780,791	28.34%	22,259	29.94%	52.8	30.05%
2009	23	81	1,874,962	5.29%	23,147	3.99%	52.9	0.19%



Texas Rangers


YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	10	81	2,831,111		34,951		71.1	
2002	15	80	2,352,447	16.91%	29,405	15.87%	59.8	15.89%
2003	18	81	2,094,394	10.97%	25,856	12.07%	52.6	12.04%
2004	14	79	2,513,685	20.02%	31,818	23.06%	64.8	23.19%
2005	15	80	2,525,221	0.46%	31,565	-0.80%	64.3	-0.77%
2006	16	81	2,388,757	-5.40%	29,490	-6.57%	60	-6.69%
2007	19	79	2,353,862	-1.46%	29,795	1.03%	60.7	1.17%
2008	25	80	1,945,677	17.34%	24,320	18.38%	49.5	18.45%
2009	17	78	2,156,016	10.81%	27,641	13.66%	56.3	13.74%






Toronto Blue Jays

YEAR	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	22	81	1,915,438		23,647		47.4	
2002	25	81	1,636,904	14.54%	20,208	14.54%	40	15.61%
2003	23	81	1,799,458	9.93%	22,215	9.93%	44	10.00%
2004	24	81	1,900,041	5.59%	23,457	5.59%	46.4	5.45%
2005	23	81	2,014,987	6.05%	24,876	6.05%	49.2	6.03%
2006	18	81	2,302,212	14.25%	28,422	14.25%	56.3	14.43%
2007	18	81	2,360,648	2.54%	29,143	2.54%	57.7	2.49%
2008	18	81	2,399,786	1.66%	29,626	1.66%	58.6	1.56%
2009	22	81	1,876,129	21.82%	23,162	21.82%	45.9	21.67%



Washington Nationals

YEAR	TEAM	RK	GMS	TOTAL	% +/-	AVG	% +/-	PCT	% +/-
2001	Expos	30	81	619,451		7,647		16.4	
2002	Expos	30	81	732,901	18.31%	9,048	18.32%	19.5	18.90%
2003	Expos	30	81	1,025,639	39.94%	12,662	39.94%	32.2	65.13%
2004	Expos	30	80	748,550	-27.02%	9,356	-26.11%	23.9	-25.78%
2005	Nationals	11	81	2,731,993	264.97%	33,728	260.50%	74.5	211.72%
2006	Nationals	21	81	2,153,058	-21.19%	26,580	-21.19%	58.7	-21.21%
2007	Nationals	25	81	1,961,606	-8.89%	24,217	-8.89%	53.5	-8.86%
2008	Nationals	20	80	2,320,400	18.29%	29,005	19.77%	69.2	29.35%
2009	Nationals	24	80	1,817,280	-21.68%	22,716	-21.68%	54.2	-21.68%



Source

- ESPN by way of club announcements